

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trad mark Offic

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
08/901.713	07/28/97	BELL		А	400-009	
STEPHEN T SULLIVAN		QM11/0406			EXAMINER E.R., J	
SOAO MORTH	ANTH STREET					

STEPHEN T SULLIVAN 5060 NORTH 40TH STREET SUITE 120 PHOENIX AZ 85018-2140

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED:

04/06/98

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/901,713 Applicant(s)

Bell

Examiner

J. Foster

Group Art Unit 3728

Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
☐ This action is FINAL .	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 19	•
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failur application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Exten 37 CFR 1.136(a).	e to respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)	
☐ Claim(s)	
☐ Claims	
Application Papers	
⊠ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw	ing Review, PTO-948.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objection	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priorit	y under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies	of the priority documents have been
☐ received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial N	umber)
\square received in this national stage application from the	le International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	·
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic price	rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
■ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper	No(s)2
 ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413 ☒ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO- 	049
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	J40
SEE OFFICE ACTION OF	I THE FOLLOWING PAGES

-2-

Serial Number: 08/901,713

Art Unit: 3728

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lindsay (4,993,551) in view of Fleming (4,993,551) in view of Berry et al (4,880,315). In the reference of Lindsay, the tool holder 10 may be considered to define a utility apron. The apron/holder includes a shell 20,22,24 with a lip portion 20 for contacting the lip of a bucket 12 to support the shell on the bucket. The exterior surface of the apron is at 24 and includes a plurality of pockets 28 disposed thereon. In addition, the interior surface of the apron is at 22 and includes a plurality of pockets 26 disposed thereon.

Although the reference of Lindsay does not disclose specific sizes for the pockets 26 and 28 of the holder 10, it would have been obvious to have made the pockets with any sizes desired, including the sizes claimed by Applicant, since it has been held that the particular size of an article generally will not support patentability. <u>In re Rose</u>, 105 USPQ 237, 240 (CCPA 1955); In re Yount, 80 USPQ 141.

The reference of Berry et al suggests at 28,30 and 36,38 using elastic bands at the opening of pockets for tools or implements, such as scissors and nail clippers. It is apparent

Serial Number: 08/901,713

Art Unit: 3728

that the elastic bands would provide a function of resiliently restricting the pocket openings for providing retention of contents in the pockets but permitting enlargement of the openings under an opening force which pulls the pocket material from the backing for allowing contents in the pockets to be removed. Accordingly, it would have been obvious in view of Berry et al to have provided elastic bands at the openings of the pockets 26,28 of Lindsay for the purpose of resiliently retaining the tools in the pockets.

-3-

- 3. Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claims 1-26 above, and further in view of Kikas (3,116,773). The reference of Kikas discloses that elastic pocket openings permit expansion thereof for easy access for removing and replacing articles in the pockets (col 1, line 70 col. 2, line 2). Accordingly, for this same function it further would have been obvious in view of Kikas to have provided the elastic band at the opening of each of the pockets 26,28 of Lindsay.
- 4. The Group and/or Art Unit location of your application in the PTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Group Art Unit 3728.

JIMMY G. FOSTER
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 3728

JGF/(703)308-1505 March 26, 1998